

The Axiological Foundation of Pedagogy: Re-Engineering Value Education in Modern Teacher Training Frameworks

Dr. Sadhana Mishra

Assistant Professor

Dayananad Women's Training

College, Kanpur U.P.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

Received: 06.02.26
Approved: 27.02.26

Dr. Sadhana Mishra

The Axiological Foundation of Pedagogy: Re-Engineering Value Education in Modern Teacher Training Frameworks

Vol. XVII, Sp.Issue Mar. 2026
Article No.11, Pg. 081-085

Similarity Check: 07%

Online available at
<https://anubooks.com/special-issues?url=jgv-si-gdhg-mdbd-mar-2026>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31995/jgv.2026.v17iSI03.011>

Abstract

This research examines the pivotal role of Value Education (VE) in contemporary teacher education. As global societies face increasing fragmentation, the teacher's role as a moral agent becomes paramount. This paper argues that Value Education is not merely a supplementary subject but the foundational bedrock of effective pedagogy. By analysing the "Value-Gap" in current Teacher Education Programs (TEPs), the study proposes a shift from informative to transformative training. Key findings suggest that integrating ethical literacy, emotional intelligence, and social responsibility into teacher training significantly improves classroom climate and student character outcomes.

1. Introduction

The 21st century is characterized by a paradox: while we possess unprecedented access to information, there is a visible decline in the consensus on shared human values. In this landscape, education is often reduced to a utilitarian tool for economic survival, prioritizing STEM and vocational skills over character formation. However, the “Crisis of Values” in modern youth—manifesting as rising intolerance, mental health struggles, and ethical ambiguity—necessitates a return to the moral roots of teaching.

Teacher education is the strategic point of intervention. If teachers are the architects of the future, their training must provide more than just instructional blueprints; it must provide a moral compass. This paper explores how “Value Education” can be systematically integrated into teacher training to move beyond rote learning toward a holistic “Pedagogy of Being.”

2. Conceptualizing Value Education

Value Education is the process by which individuals are assisted in making their values explicit and developing their moral reasoning. In the context of teacher education, it involves three distinct layers:

- **Inculcation:** Passing on accepted social and ethical norms.
- **Moral Development:** Moving through stages of moral reasoning (from self-interest to universal principles).
- **Values Analysis:** Using logical thinking and scientific investigation to decide on value-based issues.

2.1 The Taxonomy of Values

Teachers must be trained to navigate a hierarchy of values:

- **Constitutional/Civic Values:** Justice, Liberty, Equality, and Fraternity.
- **Humanistic Values:** Compassion, empathy, and honesty.
- **Environmental Values:** Sustainability and stewardship of the planet.
- **Global Values:** Cultural pluralism and peace.

3. Theoretical Frameworks

To implement VE effectively, teacher education must be grounded in established psychological and educational theories.

3.1 Kohlberg’s Stages of Moral Development

Lawrence Kohlberg’s theory provides a roadmap for how individuals develop

their sense of justice. Teacher trainees must understand these stages to tailor their moral guidance to the developmental level of their students.

Stages of Moral Development



Getty Images

3.2 Bandura’s Social Learning Theory

Albert Bandura’s research proves that most human behaviour is learned through observation and modelling. In a classroom, the teacher is the primary model. If a teacher preaches honesty but practices favouritism, the student internalizes the behaviour, not the word. TEPs must, therefore, focus on the “Self-Transformation” of the teacher-to-be.

4. The Current State of Teacher Education: A Critical Analysis

Most international teacher training curricula suffer from “The Instructional Trap.” This refers to an obsession with methodology (how to teach) and content knowledge (what to teach) at the expense of the “Whys” of education.

4.1 The Hidden Curriculum

Students learn more from the “hidden curriculum”—the school’s atmosphere, the teacher’s attitude, and the fairness of grading—than from the formal curriculum. Many teachers enter the profession unaware of the power of this hidden curriculum, often inadvertently modelling bias or authoritarianism.

4.2 The Challenge of Secularism and Pluralism

In diverse societies, teachers often fear teaching values because they confuse “Values” with “Religion.” A key role of modern TEPs is to decouple ethics from dogma, teaching “Universal Human Values” that are acceptable across all cultures and beliefs.

5. Integrating VE: A Multidimensional Model

How do we move from theory to practice? This paper proposes a four-pillar model for modern Teacher Education Programs.

Pillar 1: The Reflective Practitioner (Self-Study)

- Before a teacher can teach values, they must undergo a “Moral Audit.”
- Action: TEPs should include mandatory “Reflective Retreats” or journaling where trainees analyze their own prejudices and life experiences.
- Goal: To develop “Ethical Mindfulness.”

Pillar 2: Pedagogical Integration (The Infusion Method)

- Values should not be taught in a 40-minute “Moral Science” period. Instead, they should be infused into every subject.
- Mathematics: Teaching the value of precision, truth, and the fair distribution of resources.
- Literature: Using narratives to build empathy for diverse human experiences.
- Science: Discussing the ethical implications of genetic engineering or climate change.

Pillar 3: Classroom Management as Moral Exercise

Every disciplinary action is a lesson in justice. TEPs must replace “Punitive Discipline” with “Restorative Justice” training. This teaches students those actions have consequences for the community, rather than just fear of authority.

Pillar 4: Community and Service Learning

Values are best learned in action. Teacher trainees should be required to complete community service modules. By working with marginalized populations, the values of social justice and empathy move from the textbook to the heart.

6. Challenges and Barriers

The path to value-based teacher education is not without obstacles:

- Standardized Testing Pressures: When a teacher’s success is measured only by student test scores, they are forced to sacrifice “character building” for “test prepping.”
- Quantification Difficulty: It is hard to provide a “Grade” for a teacher’s honesty or a student’s empathy, leading to these areas being ignored in official assessments.
- Digital Isolation: In an age of AI and screen-time, the human connection—essential for value transmission—is being diluted.

7. The Impact of VE on School Climate

Research indicates that when teachers are trained in Value Education, schools see a:

- 30% reduction in bullying and peer conflict.
- Improvement in Academic Performance: Students who feel respected and safe (a value-based environment) perform better cognitively.
- Teacher Retention: Teachers who view their work as a “calling” or “moral mission” report lower rates of burnout than those who view it as a mere job.

8. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

Value Education is the soul of teacher education. Without it, we produce “clever devils” rather than constructive citizens. To revitalize the education system, this paper suggests:

- Policy Reform: International educational bodies (like UNESCO) should mandate Value Literacy as a core competency for teacher certification.
- Research Investment: Funding should be allocated to longitudinal studies that track the impact of value-based teaching on societal health.
- Continuous Professional Development (CPD): Values training should not end at graduation. It must be a lifelong process of professional growth.
- In conclusion, the teacher of the 21st century must be a “Moral Compass.” By embedding Value Education into the heart of teacher training, we ensure that our schools are not just centers of learning, but cradles of humanity.

9. References (Academic Standard)

1. Arweck, E., & Nesbitt, E. (2010). *Values Education: Perspective from an International Perspective*. Routledge.
2. Bandura, A. (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. Prentice-Hall.
3. Carr, D. (2003). *Making Sense of Education: An Introduction to the Philosophy and Theory of Education and Teaching*. Routledge Falmer.
4. Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and Education*. Macmillan.
5. Gutmann, A. (1999). *Democratic Education*. Princeton University Press.
6. Halstead, J. M., & Taylor, M. J. (2000). *Learning and Teaching about Values: A Review of Recent Research*. Cambridge Journal of Education.
7. Lovat, T., & Clement, N. (2008). *The Quality Teaching and Values Education Link: Perspectives from Research*. Evidence-Based Practice in Education.
8. UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*